



## Recent Law Review Articles

### CONSTITUTIONAL LAW

Enoch, Craig T. and David F. Johnson. Narrowing the ability to strike jurors: the Texas Supreme Court addresses important voir dire issues. 39 *Tex. Tech L. Rev.* 229-260 (2007).

Reimund, Mary Ellen. Is restorative justice on a collision course with the Constitution? 3 *Appalachian J.L.* 1-35 (2004)

### CRIMINAL LAW AND PROCEDURE

Almodovar, Luis A. and Stacy Shor McNally. Are you worried about going to jail? The Public Defender's Office Homeless Outreach Program. 36 *Stetson L. Rev.* 183-205 (2006).

Batchoo, Lystra. Note. Voluntary surgical castration of sex offenders: waiving the Eighth Amendment protection from cruel and unusual punishment. 72 *Brook. L. Rev.* 689-720 (2007).

Bergelson, Vera. The right to be hurt: testing the boundaries of consent. 75 *Geo. Wash. L. Rev.* 165-236 (2007).

Black, Kelsey P. Note. Undue protection versus undue punishment: examining the drinking and driving problem across the United States. 40 *Suffolk U. L. Rev.* 463-484 (2007).

Citron, Eric F. Note. Right and responsibility in Fourth Amendment jurisprudence: the problem with pretext. 116 *Yale L.J.* 1072-1114 (2007).

Clendenin, Meadow. Comment. "No concessions" with no teeth: how kidnap and ransom insurers and insureds are undermining U.S. counterterrorism policy. 56 *Emory L.J.* 741-775 (2006).

Conroy, Justin S. "Show me your papers": race and street encounters. 19 *Nat'l Black L.J.* 149-174 (2007).

Dennis, Donna I. Obscenity law and its consequences in mid-nineteenth-century America. 16 *Colum. J. Gender & L.* 43-95 (2007).

Everson, Michael. Case note. Criminal law—conflicting values: confrontation rights in sexual abuse cases involving the youngest of victims. (*State v. Bobadilla*, 709 N.W.2d 243, Minn. 2006.) 33 *Wm. Mitchell L. Rev.* 653-680 (2007).

Giannelli, Paul C. Regulating crime laboratories: the impact of DNA evidence. 15 *J.L. & Pol'y* 59-92 (2007).

Gilmore, Kelly A. Note. Preserving the border search doctrine in a digital world: reproducing electronic evidence at the border. 72 Brook. L. Rev. 759-797 (2007).

Goodmark, Leigh. The punishment of Dixie Shanahan: is there justice for battered women who kill? 55 U. Kan. L. Rev. 269-319 (2007).

Goulah, Jennifer. Comment. The cart before the horse: Michigan jumps the gun in jailing deadbeat dads. 83 U. Det. Mercy L. Rev. 479-505 (2006).

Hamrick, Stephanie J. Note. Is looting ever justified?: An analysis of looting laws and the applicability of the necessity defense during natural disasters and states of emergency. 7 Nev. L.J. 182-211 (2006).

Henderson, Kirk J. The right to argue that trial counsel was constitutionally ineffective. 45 Duq. L. Rev. 1-45 (2006).

Janulewicz, Neil R. Case comment. Constitutional law—allowing excited utterances to affect characterizing accusatory statements as testimonial statements contradicts Confrontation Clause jurisprudence. (*United States v. Brito*, 427 F.3d 53, 1st Cir. 2005.) 40 Suffolk U. L. Rev. 559-568 (2007).

Koster, Paul R. Workplace searches by public employers and the Fourth Amendment. 39 Urb. Law. 75-84 (2007).

Lidge, Ernest F. III. Perp walks and prosecutorial ethics. 7 Nev. L.J. 55-72 (2006).

Liles, William Walton. Comment. Challenges to felony disenfranchisement laws: past, present, and future. 58 Ala. L. Rev. 615-629 (2007).

Mendes, Monica. Comment. A low threshold of guilt: interpreting California's fetal murder statute in ... (*People v. Taylor*, 86 P.3d 881, Cal. 2004.) 39 Loy. L.A. L. Rev. 1447-1469 (2006).

Monteleoni, Paul M. Note. DNA databases, universality, and the Fourth Amendment. 82 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 247-280 (2007).

Muccio, Gina M. Case note. Should a pre-trial releasee be subject to Fourth Amendment searches and seizures based on probable cause or reasonable suspicion? (*United States v. Scott*, 450 F.3d 863, 9th Cir. 2005.) 27 Pace L. Rev. 339-363 (2007).

Mullen, Hon. Graham C. and J.P. Davis. Mandatory guidelines: the oxymoronic state of sentencing after *United States v. Booker*. 41 U. Rich. L. Rev. 625-658 (2007).

Na, Jessica. Comment. A whiff of things to come: the unreasonableness of dog sniffs in ... (*Illinois v. Caballes*, 543 U.S. 405, 2005.) 39 Loy. L.A. L. Rev. 1471-1494 (2006).

Pokorak, Jeffrey J. Rape as a badge of slavery: the legal history of, and remedies for, prosecutorial race-of-victim charging disparities. 7 Nev. L.J. 1-54 (2006).

Razel, Timothy A. Note. Dying to get away with it: how the abatement doctrine thwarts justice—and what should be done about it. 75 *Fordham L. Rev.* 2193-2230 (2007).

Rudman, Nicholas K. Casenote. A “Gall-ing” approach to reasonableness review: the Eighth Circuit’s sentencing review in *United States v. Gall* exemplifies the agony (and ecstasy) facing the post-Booker federal judiciary. (*United States v. Gall*, 446 F.3d 884, 8th Cir. 2006.) 40 *Creighton L. Rev.* 353-398 (2007).

Scott, Tiffany. Note. Repercussions of the “crack baby” epidemic: why a message of care rather than punishment is needed for pregnant drug-users. 19 *Nat’l Black L.J.* 203-221 (2007).

Smith, Kelly J. Note. An enemy of freedom: *United States v. James J. Smith* and the assault on the Fourth Amendment. (*United States v. James J. Smith*, No. CR 03-428-FMC, C.D. Cal. Apr. 8, 2003.) 39 *Loy. L.A. L. Rev.* 1395-1446 (2006).

Solove, Daniel J. The First Amendment as criminal procedure. 82 *N.Y.U. L. Rev.* 112-176 (2007).

Takah, Jarrod T. Recent decision. A social worker qualifies as a criminal justice expert for the purposes of classifying a sex offender as a sexually violent predator. (*Commonwealth v. Conklin*, 897 A.2d 1168, Pa. 2006.) 45 *Duq. L. Rev.* 129-145 (2006).

Stanley, Patricia. Comment. The Combat Methamphetamine Epidemic Act: new protection or new intrusion? 39 *Tex. Tech L. Rev.* 379-421 (2007).

Towers, Meghan Sile. Note. Protectionism, punishment and pariahs: sex offenders and residence restrictions. 15 *J.L. & Pol’y* 291-332 (2007).

Wepner, Leslie. Comment. The Machine Gun Statute: its controversial past and possible future. 75 *Fordham L. Rev.* 2269-2301 (2007).

Woolworth, Aminie. Note. The good faith exception: “what is it good for?” The Michigan Supreme Court overturns twenty years of precedent holding it was worth “absolutely nothing!” 83 *U. Det. Mercy L. Rev.* 441-478 (2006).

## **DOMESTIC RELATIONS**

Nicholson, Emily K. Comment. Racing against the ASFA clock: how incarcerated parents lose more than freedom. 45 *Duq. L. Rev.* 83-95 (2006).

## **JUVENILES**

Goldberg, Daniel S. The ethics of DNR orders as to neonatal & pediatric patients: the ethical dimension of communication. 7 *Hous. J. Health L. & Pol’y* 57-83 (2006).

Kerney-Quillen, M. Suzanne. Fetal abuse: the societal impact of drug-exposed infants and the state’s interest in preventing child abuse and neglect by expectant mothers. 3 *Appalachian J.L.* 81-99 (2004).

## **LAW ENFORCEMENT AND CORRECTIONS**

Cherry, April L. The detention, confinement, and incarceration of pregnant women for the benefit of fetal

health. 16 Colum. J. Gender & L. 147-197 (2007).

### **MOTOR VEHICLES**

Black, Kelsey P. Note. Undue protection versus undue punishment: examining the drinking and driving problem across the United States. 40 Suffolk U. L. Rev. 463-484 (2007).

### **PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE**

Sparks, Hon. Sam and George Butts. Disappearing juries and jury verdicts. 39 Tex. Tech L. Rev. 289-313 (2007).

### **SEXUALITY AND THE LAW**

Locke, Christina and Bill F. Chamberlin. Safe from sex offenders? Legislating Internet publication of sex offender registries. 39 Urb. Law. 1-18 (2007).

Towers, Meghan Sile. Note. Protectionism, punishment and pariahs: sex offenders and residence restrictions. 15 J.L. & Pol'y 291-332 (2007). ■